

## **FOREWORD**

This is the twenty-fourth annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section.

It documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Delta Modeling Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled by Michael Mierzwa, with assistance from Jane Schafer-Kramer and Nikki Blomquist, under the direction of Bob Suits, Senior Engineer, and Tara Smith, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

On-line versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

<http://modeling.water.ca.gov/branch/reports.html>

For more information contact:

Tara Smith  
[tara@water.ca.gov](mailto:tara@water.ca.gov)  
(916) 653-9885

-or-

Michael Mierzwa  
[mmierzwa@water.ca.gov](mailto:mmierzwa@water.ca.gov)  
(916) 653-9794



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>FOREWORD .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1    INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>2    REALM.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1   Introduction .....	2-1
2.2   REALM Physical Modeling Requirements.....	2-2
2.3   Numerical Methods and Grid .....	2-3
2.3.1   Grid.....	2-3
2.3.2   Solution Techniques for Physical Processes.....	2-5
2.4   Analysis and Management Tools .....	2-8
2.5   Collaboration .....	2-9
2.6   Work Flow .....	2-11
2.7   Example Problems .....	2-11
2.7.1   Through Delta Facility .....	2-11
2.7.2   Particle Tracking .....	2-14
2.7.3   Real-Time Adaptive Delta Management.....	2-14
2.8   References.....	2-15
<b>3    EXTENDING DSM2-QUAL CALIBRATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1   Introduction .....	3-1
3.2   Background .....	3-1
3.3   Data and Model Input.....	3-2
3.4   Calibration and Validation.....	3-4
3.4.1   Calibration Results .....	3-6
3.4.2   Validation Results .....	3-7
3.5   Summary.....	3-11
3.6   Future Directions .....	3-12
3.7   References.....	3-13
<b>4    MORROW ISLAND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM CALIBRATION .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1   Introduction .....	4-1
4.2   Background .....	4-1
4.3   Location and Setup of Flow Study .....	4-1
4.4   Data and Analysis .....	4-2
4.5   Conclusions and Recommendations.....	4-6
4.6   Websites .....	4-6
<b>5    USE OF CALVIN IN DSM2 PLANNING STUDIES .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1   Introduction .....	5-1
5.2   CALVIN Overview.....	5-2
5.3   Use of CALVIN to Provide Input to DSM2.....	5-4
5.3.1   Inflow and Export Boundary Conditions.....	5-4
5.3.2   Delta Island Consumptive Use.....	5-6
5.4   Use of DSM2 to Provide Feedback to CALVIN.....	5-7
5.5   Future Directions .....	5-7
5.6   References .....	5-8
5.7   Websites .....	5-8

<b>6</b>	<b>NEW BEHAVIORS AND CONTROL SWITCHES IN DSM2-PTM .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	6-1
6.2	Stage Triggering.....	6-1
6.2.1	Vertical Positioning Example .....	6-2
6.2.2	Vertical Positioning Implementation.....	6-2
6.3	Seepage Switch.....	6-3
6.4	References .....	6-3
<b>7</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF A NEW DOC GROWTH ALGORITHM IN DSM2-QUAL .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	7-1
7.2	Implementation in QUAL .....	7-1
7.2.1	Activating DOC Growth.....	7-2
7.2.2	DOC Mass Growth Rate Parameters.....	7-2
7.3	Example Application of New Methodology .....	7-4
7.4	Conclusions.....	7-5
7.5	References .....	7-6
<b>8</b>	<b>DSM2-HYDRO BINARY OUTPUT FILE READER .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	Introduction .....	8-1
8.2	Main Interface .....	8-1
8.3	File Editor Interface.....	8-2
8.4	Location Editor Interface.....	8-3
8.5	Graphing Binary Data .....	8-4
8.6	Future Development .....	8-4
<b>9</b>	<b>DEVELOPING EC FOR INFLOWS FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER EXTENSION TO DSM2 FOR PLANNING STUDIES .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	9-1
9.2	Sources of Inflow to the San Joaquin River from Vernalis to Bear Creek .....	9-1
9.3	EC in Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers .....	9-1
9.4	EC in San Joaquin River at Upstream Boundary (near Stevinson) .....	9-5
9.5	EC in Orestimba Creek .....	9-7
9.6	EC in Salt and Mud Sloughs .....	9-8
9.7	EC in Westside Agriculture Return Flow .....	9-12
9.8	EC in Eastside Drainage.....	9-13
9.9	EC in Groundwater Flows .....	9-13
9.10	References .....	9-14

## **TABLES**

Table 3.1:	Summary of Continuous DO and Temperature Monitoring Stations .....	3-6
Table 4.1:	DSM2 Sensitivity Runs and MIDS Downstream Flow Coefficients .....	4-3
Table 5.1:	Summary of CALSIM and CALVIN Boundary Conditions Inputs to DSM2.....	5-5
Table 9.1:	Equations for EC as a Function of Flow at Various Sources of Inflow on the San Joaquin River.....	9-3
Table 9.2:	EC Added to Applied Agricultural Water before Modeling Accumulated Salts .....	9-12

# FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Multiblock Using Several Rectangular Meshes to Cover a Model Domain .....	2-4
Figure 2.2:	(left) Plan View of 2D Cartesian Cut Cells, with Boundary-Conforming Straight Line Cuts Across Cells. (right) Side view of a Single 3D Cut Cell, with a Planar Cut Representing Bottom Topography .....	2-4
Figure 2.3:	The Volume of Fluid (and Therefore the Boundary) Moving with the Water Levels in a Computational Cell During Wetting and Drying .....	2-5
Figure 2.4:	Adaptive Mesh Refinement.....	2-5
Figure 2.5:	Through Delta Facility .....	2-12
Figure 2.6:	TDF-Controlled Salinity Within Range .....	2-13
Figure 2.7:	Unoptimized vs. Optimized Operations.....	2-14
Figure 3.1:	Water Quality Stations near Stockton.....	3-2
Figure 3.2:	Interaction Between DO and Related Parameters.....	3-3
Figure 3.3:	DO and Temperature Water Quality Stations in the Delta.....	3-5
Figure 3.4:	DO in the Middle River at Howard Road.....	3-6
Figure 3.5:	DO in the Old River at the Tracy Wildlife Association.....	3-7
Figure 3.6:	DO in the Old River at DMC.....	3-7
Figure 3.7a:	DO in the San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island, 1996-1998 .....	3-8
Figure 3.7b:	DO in the San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island, 1999-2000 .....	3-8
Figure 3.8a:	Water Temperature in the San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island, 1996-1998 .....	3-9
Figure 3.8b:	Water Temperature in the San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island, 1999-2000 .....	3-9
Figure 3.9a:	DO in the Sacramento River at Mallard Slough, 1996-1998 .....	3-10
Figure 3.9b:	DO in the Sacramento River at Mallard Slough, 1999-2000 .....	3-10
Figure 3.10a:	DO in the San Joaquin River at Antioch, 1996-1998 .....	3-11
Figure 3.10b:	DO in the San Joaquin River at Antioch, 1999-2000 .....	3-11
Figure 4.1:	Morrow Island Distribution System Intake and Goodyear Slough .....	4-2
Figure 4.2:	DSM2 and Observed Flow Through MIDS Intake on February 19, 2003.....	4-3
Figure 4.3:	DSM2 and Observed Flow in Goodyear Slough near MIDS Intake on February 19, 2003.....	4-4
Figure 4.4:	DSM2 and Observed Flow in Goodyear Slough near MIDS Intake on February 26, 2003.....	4-4
Figure 4.5:	Stage at MIDS Intake on February 19, 2003 (Neap Tide) .....	4-5
Figure 4.6:	Stage at MIDS Intake on February 26, 2003 (Spring Tide) .....	4-5
Figure 5.1:	CALVIN Inputs to DSM2 and DSM2 Feedback to CALVIN .....	5-2
Figure 5.2:	Regions, Inflows, and Reservoirs Represented in CALVIN .....	5-3
Figure 5.3:	Sample DSM2 Text Boundary Condition Input File, Using Input from CALVIN .....	5-5
Figure 6.1:	View of Stage Behavior GUI Showing Vertical Positioning Based on Rising and Falling Stage .....	6-1
Figure 6.2:	Example of Positioning from Stage Triggering and Particle Position Associated with: (A) Rising Stage and (B) Falling Stage .....	6-2
Figure 6.3:	Example of How Stage Triggering is Implemented.....	6-3
Figure 7.1:	Sample scalar.inp File.....	7-2
Figure 7.2:	Conceptualization of Implementation of DOC Growth in QUAL .....	7-3
Figure 7.3:	Example operation_schedule.dat File.....	7-4
Figure 7.4:	Example of DOC Growth in an Island Reservoir .....	7-4
Figure 8.1:	DSM2 Binary File Reader Application Interface .....	8-2
Figure 8.2:	DSM2 Binary File Reader File Editing Interface .....	8-3
Figure 8.3:	DSM2 Binary File Reader Location Editing Interface .....	8-3
Figure 8.4:	DSM2 Binary File Reader Graphing Interface .....	8-4
Figure 9.1:	Sources of EC in Modeled Reach of San Joaquin River .....	9-2

---

Figure 9.2:	Stanislaus River, EC vs. Flow .....	9-4
Figure 9.3:	Tuolumne River, EC vs. Flow .....	9-4
Figure 9.4:	Merced River, EC vs. Flow .....	9-5
Figure 9.5:	San Joaquin River near Stevinson, EC vs. Flow .....	9-6
Figure 9.6:	Orestimba Creek, EC vs. Flow.....	9-8
Figure 9.7:	Salt Slough, EC vs. Flow .....	9-9
Figure 9.8:	Mud Slough, EC vs. Flow.....	9-11
Figure 9.9:	Combined EC for Westside Agricultural Return Flow .....	9-12
Figure 9.10:	EC in Groundwater Flows to the San Joaquin River .....	9-13